

Standards for Classroom Presentations Rubric

- I) Logistics of the presentation (circle one score on the scale below, corresponding to the qualities described):
 - 1. The student's

 [group
 scoresheets refer
 to the group]
 appearance was
 not appropriate.
 Visual aids (if
 warranted) were
 absent or poorly
 designed.
- 2. The student's appearance was appropriate. Visual aids (if warranted) and delivery were adequate.
- 3. The student's appearance was appropriate. Visual aids (if warranted) and delivery were mostly good. However, there was some weakness.
- 4. The student appeared and sounded professional. Delivery was strong. Visual aids (if warranted) were high quality.
- II) Depth of understanding (circle one score on the scale below, corresponding to the qualities described):
 - 1. None/Little: The student [group scoresheets refer to the group] did not appear to have researched the topic sufficiently. The content depth did not suggest meaningful preparation.
- 2. Basic: The student had adequate but limited understanding of the topic. Although adequately prepared, the student was not in command of the material.
- 3. Intermediate:
 The student
 incorporated
 multiple source
 materials for
 analysis or
 synthesis,
 understood the
 material well, and
 discussed related
 questions
 adequately.
- 4. Advanced: The student was fluent in explaining the topic and fielded questions on related topics with confidence and expertise.
- III) Presentation skill (circle one score on the scale below, corresponding to the qualities described):
 - 1. Not Effective:
 The presentation
 was unclear.
 Spoken work was
 sometimes
 difficult to
 follow.
- 2. Adequate: The presentation was mostly clear, but not well-controlled.

 Awareness of the audience was minimal.
- 3. Effective: The presentation was controlled, polished, and tailored to enlighten the lay audience.
- 4. **Compelling:** The presentation was memorable and could serve as a model for others.
- IV) Content communication (circle one score on the scale below, corresponding to the qualities described):
 - 1. **Inadequate:** The topic was difficult to decipher.
- 2. Adequate:
 Inaccuracies,
 deficiencies, or
 omissions made
 the topic
 somewhat
 confusing.
- 3. Above Average:
 The student
 communicated
 the topic clearly,
 with some
 weakness(es) in
 either logic,
 language, clarity,
 or flow.
- 4. Excellent: The topic was covered logically, language was appropriate for the audience, technical terms were clarified, and the content flowed