

ANNUAL INNOVATION OF THE YEAR AWARD 2008

Every year the League honors outstanding innovations which have been recognized by member institutions as Innovations of the Year. These innovations represent capstone achievements and the continuing renewal of the spirit of innovation and experimentation upon which the League was founded.

MCC'S INNOVATION OF THE YEAR AWARD

LEAGUE FOR INNOVATION IN THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE **INNOVATION OF THE YEAR AWARD**

The Innovation of the Year Award is designed to recognize League college staff members who have designed and implemented a significant innovation. Each year the League recognizes "Innovations of the Year."

Employees from all employee groups (faculty, including part-time; administrators; and support personnel) are eligible for nomination.

PAST RECIPIENTS \sim

1995	Computer Assisted Language Learning for ESOL Students Suzanne El Rayess, Elizabeth Neureiter-Seely, Pilar Vilar-Glasow
1996	The Integrated Technical Training System Carol Burritt, Terry Keys, Joan Smith, Robert Teague
1997	Faculty Advisor Workshop Series Susan Baker, Mary Eshenour, Denise Klein, Susan Salvador
1998	Teaching Online: Asynchronous Learning and the SUNY Learning Network Michelle Bartell, Elizabeth Fell-Kelly, Marlene Ledbetter, Dale Mallory, Lorraine McHugh, Thomas McHugh, Marion Miller, Chris Otero-Piersante, Craig Rand, Cathryn Smith
1999	Liberal Arts Advisor/Advisee Mentor Program Kathy O'Shea, Holly Wynn-Preische
2000	MCC Student E-Mail Project Rob Cordeiro, Joe Gerardi, Terry Keys, Dale Mallory, Donna Pogroszewski, Richard Ryther, Brett Thompson, Tony Wagahoff
2001	Leadership Institute Shirley Batistta-Provost, Douglas Brown, Jodi Oriel, Karen Ross, Elizabeth Stewart, Pamela Weidel
2002	Rochester Parent Network James Coffey
2003	Curriculum Forms Data Base Robert Bertram, Charlotte Downing, Ernest Mellas
2004	Workshops Initiated Towards Needs of Students (WINS) Program Anne Hughes, Betty Smith
2005	Computerized Assessment System Audrey J. Bopp, Martha Kendall, Pamela D. Korte
2006	A Comprehensive Approach to Classroom Technology Support Stephanie Allen, Yvonne Betts-Gamble, Diane DeHond, Delovis Olaode, Jeff Thompson, Paul Tracy, Sharron Waide
2007	Distance Dental Hygiene Project: A Partnership to Extend the MCC Dental Hygiene Program to Cuba, Dunkirk, and Watertown David Lawrence, (Program Director); Susan Forsyth, (Department Chair), Charlene Blanchard, Marsha Bower, Nancy Rivaldo, and Saroj Viswanathan

MCC'S INNOVATION OF THE YEAR AWARD

2008 RECIPIENTS WOMEN ON THE MOVE IVAN MATTHEW, JESICA MILLER, CORINNE MULHALL, JULIE WHITE, PATRICIA WILLIAMS



Women on the Move is a collaborative demonstration project, funded by a grant from the Women's Foundation of the Genesee Valley, with the purpose to create a mechanism to provide training and support to working women who are caught in the middle of the low income spectrum, through goal setting and step-by-step achievement of goals toward attainment of economic self-sufficiency. *Women on the Move* is a collaborative project with Catholic Family Center (CFC).

MCC'S INNOVATION OF THE YEAR AWARD

CRITERIA

An innovation should meet one or more of the following criteria:

1. Quality—Students and/or staff agree that the innovation increases "quality" in the course, program, office, or institution. Evidence of quality may include student ratings or letters of support from colleagues. "Quality" is difficult to measure, but the committees might want to wrestle with criteria that define quality in their colleagues.

2. Efficiency—There is evidence that the innovation contributes to a more efficient way of doing things. Student ratings, perceptions of outside consultants, and pre- and post-comparison of time involved are examples of evidence.

3. Cost Effectiveness—There is evidence that the innovation adds a value to the institution while at the same time containing or reducing costs. Cost data will serve as evidence.

4. Replication—The innovation selected can be replicated in other institutions with a minimum of difficulty.

5. Creativity—The innovation should be as original as possible or the adaptation should be creative. The description of the program or letters from experts are examples of evidence.

6. Timeliness—The innovation should not be more than five years old in the institution, but it must have been around long enough to have been tested so that it meets most of the criteria.

Each of the League members will announce the Innovation of the Year Program. It is recommended that each League member create a committee to establish criteria and select the award winner. Applications should be encouraged from all areas of the college: instructors (including part-time), administrators, and support personnel; the range of participation is to be determined by each college.